

CALNE WITHOUT PARISH COUNCIL – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING FORM

(Please use additional sheets as required)

| | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| Proposer/applicant | Bristol & Avons River Trust (BART) | Contact details (address/telephone/email) | Corum Office Park Crown Way, Unit 4 Corum Two, Warmley, Bristol BS30 8FJ |
| Is the applicant a registered charity? (If so, give | 1154348 | VAT status of applicant (if registered give number) | N/A |
| Short description of application seeking funding. | Marden Valley Blue Corridor Proposal - River restoration & floodplain restoration along the River Marden upstream of Calne alongside 'Eels in the Classroom', education workshops in local primary schools. | Location of community improvement idea (which Ward if it is within the parish) | East Ward |
| Amount of funding sought this year (£) | £19,740 (up to March 2025) See BART proposal for more detail on funding & project costs | Total amount of funding sought (£) | £44,740 |
| If ongoing maintenance is required, how will it be funded? | N/A | If parish council support with ongoing maintenance is requested how much per year? | N/A |
| If the total cost is higher than funding requested, how will balance be financed? | BART applying for Wiltshire Heritage & Nature Grant (BART have passed EOI stage, final decision mid Feb 2024) | Have you applied for funding for this project from elsewhere? If so from whom and for how much? | Wiltshire Heritage & Nature Grant. BART are confident they will be successful but also can apply to Postcode Local Trust if needed. |
| Detailed description of Community Improvement Idea (attach other sheet(s) as required) – including how the project will be managed (see section 11.9 | • see attached sheet | | |
| Benefits to be delivered by the Community Improvement Idea (attach other sheet(s) as required) (see section 11.3) | The Marden Valley Blue Corridor Strategy will deliver a multitude of ecosystem services benefits including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduced surface water flooding. • Increased areas for pollinators • Habitat creation • Enhanced carbon sequestration • Improved water quality • Enhanced health & wellbeing in green & blue spaces • Enhanced biodiversity within local towns and rivers | | |
| Who will measure the delivered benefits and how? (Attach other sheet(s) as required) (see section 11.5) | CWPC & BART Please see Marden Valley Blue Corridor proposal document for more details. | | |
| Legislative requirements – e.g. planning permission. (see section 11.8) | BART will work with landowners and Environment Agency to cover any legislative requirements. | Alignment with Neighbourhood Plan (see section 11.2 | This proposal aligns with Climate Change & Environment Policies in the CCNP and with the CWPC Climate Emergency and Environment Strategic Plan. |

CALNE WITHOUT PARISH COUNCIL – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING FORM

(Please use additional sheets as required)

| | |
|--|--|
| Local consultation – have local people been consulted about this proposal? If so how many, how was it done and what were the results. (see sections 11.2 & 11.3) | CWPC carried out a Climate Emergency and Environment Survey in February 2022 which showed a strong interest in protecting and enhancing the local environment and increasing biodiversity. 35% of respondents expressed an interest in becoming an CWPC Countryside Volunteer. |
| Sustainability, environmental benefits & impact (see sections 11.4 & 11.6) | See attached sheet. |

SCORING

| CII – Ref number - | Scoring (1-5) – Criteria given below | Weighting – Importance to Community/Council | Total Score |
|--|---|--|--------------------|
| Alignment with Neighbourhood Plan | | 5 | |
| Community Benefits | | 5 | |
| Environmental Benefit Impacts | | 3 | |
| Measuring Benefits | | 3 | |
| Legacy Potential and Impact / Sustainability | | 3 | |
| Funding / Costs | | 3 | |
| Legislative requirements to be satisfied | | 3 | |
| Project Management and Risk | | 4 | |
| Total | | | |

Scoring Criteria

| Score | Meaning |
|--------------|---|
| 1 | Unacceptable response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • none provided • demonstrating a significant misunderstanding of the question • not meeting the criteria even to a minimum extent |
| 2 | Weak response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • meeting certain aspects to a minimum extent but fails in others • little evidence of ability to meet or deliver to the proposed criteria |
| 3 | Fair response as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • meeting the majority but not all aspects of the criteria • adequate evidence of ability to meet or deliver to the proposed criteria |

CALNE WITHOUT PARISH COUNCIL – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING FORM

(Please use additional sheets as required)

| | |
|---|--|
| 4 | Good response: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• meeting all aspects of the criteria• comprehensive, clear proposal demonstrating a good understanding of the criteria• clear evidence of ability to meet or deliver to the proposed criteria |
| 5 | Response which exceeds criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Materially exceeding the criteria, through a creative or innovative response or where additional 'added value' areas have been identified• clear evidence of ability to exceed the proposed criteria |